

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/752,813		12/29/2000	Richard S. Jensen	P9955	1535
8791	7590	06/13/2005		EXAM	INER
		LOFF TAYLOR & . DULEVARD	PHU, PHUONG M		
SEVENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANG	ELES, CA	90025-1030		2631	

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>♪</b>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/752,813	JENSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phuong Phu	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 April 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,8-16 and 18-24 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5, 8-16,18-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2631

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 4/27/05.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 23 recites the limitation "a transmitter". It is unclear whether the limitation refers to "a transmitter" previously recited in claim 22. Correction is required; otherwise, said limitation is not disclosed in the Specification.

Claim 24, as depended on claim 23, therefore is also rejected.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 8-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01.

-Claim 1 omits functional/structural/connectional interrelationship of elements "edge processor", "communication circuit", "plurality of current source" and "resistor" to one another

Art Unit: 2631

in order to make the claimed apparatus as a complete operative and connective system. Said omission renders the claim indefinite as following:

it is unclear whether the "communication circuit" is coupled to an input or an output of the "edge processor" and whether the "communication circuit" is coupled to the "edge processor" at an input or an output of the "communication circuit";

it is unclear whether the "plurality of current sources" is coupled to an input or an output of the "communication circuit" and whether "plurality of current sources" is coupled to the "communication circuit" at input(s) or output(s) of the "plurality of current sources";

it is unclear whether each of the "differential pairs" is coupled to an input or an output of the "resistor" and whether each of the "differential pairs" is coupled to the "resistor" at input(s) or output(s) of the "differential pairs";

it is unclear about the interrelationship of "communications" (recited on line 4) with "decisions" and/or "received data stream";

it is unclear about the interrelationship of "different pair" and "different voltage" (on line 7) to each other and to "communications", "decisions" and/or "received data stream"; and it is unclear about the interrelationship of "resistor" (on line 8) with "different voltage" and/or "differential current" (on lines 7 and 8).

-Claim 8 omits functional/structural/connectional interrelationship of elements "first unit" with elements "transmitter" and "edge based receiver" in order to make the claimed apparatus as a complete operative and connective system. Said omission renders the claim indefinite as following: it is unclear the "first unit" converts the "signal" between the "transmitter" and the

Art Unit: 2631

"edge-based receiver" in a direction from the "transmitter" to the "edge-based receiver" or in a direction from the "edge-based receiver" to the "transmitter".

-Claim 8 omits functional/structural/connectional interrelationship of elements "plural of current sources", and "plurality of resistors" with element "edge based receiver" in order to make the claimed apparatus as a complete operative and connective system. Said omission renders the claim indefinite as following:

it is unclear whether the "current sources" are coupled to an input or an output of the "edge-based receiver" and whether the "current sources" are coupled to the "edge-based receiver" at input(s) or output(s) of the "current sources";

it is unclear whether the "plurality of resistors" are coupled to input(s) or output(s) of each of the "differential pairs" and whether the "plurality of resistors" are coupled to each of the "differential pairs" at at input(s) or output(s) of the "plurality of resistors".

-Claim 11 omits functional/structural/connectional interrelationship of elements "transmitter", "edge based receiver", "conversion circuit", "current sources" and "resistors" to one another to make the claimed system as a complete operative and connective system. Said omission renders the claim indefinite as following:

it is unclear whether the "edge based receiver" is coupled to an input or an output of the "transmitter" and whether the "edge based receiver" is coupled to the "transmitter" at an input or an output of the "edge based receiver";

it is unclear whether the "conversion circuit" is coupled to an input or an output of the "edge-based receiver" and whether the conversion circuit" is coupled to the "edge-based receiver" at an input or an output of the "conversion circuit", and whether "the signaling"

Art Unit: 2631

between the "transmitter" and the "receiver" in a direction from the "transmitter" to the "receiver" or from the "receiver" to the "transmitter";

it is unclear about the interrelationship of "signaling between the transmitter and the receiver", "current mode driver", "high impedance output", "dual end termination" and "edge processor" to one another; and

it is unclear about the interrelationship of "plurality of differential voltages" with "conversion circuit".

Claims (if any) dependent on above claims are therefore also rejected with the above reasons.

5. Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Claim 19 omits steps showing interrelationship of "a transmitter" with other steps recited in the claim.

Claim 19 omits steps showing interrelationship of step "summing the plurality of differential currents to yield a single differential load" with element "the edge based receiver" recited in step "coupling the plurality of differential currents to the "edge-based receiver". Said omission renders the claim indefinite as following:

it is unclear whether the "plurality of differential currents" are coupled to an input or an output of the "edge-based receiver" and whether "plurality of differential currents" are coupled to the "edge-based receiver" at input(s) and output(s) of the differential currents".

Claim 21 omits steps showing interrelationship of "an edge processor" with other steps recited in claims 19-21 and with "transmitter" recited in claim 19.

Claim 22 omits steps showing interrelationship of "a transmitter" with other steps recited in the claim.

Claim 22 omits steps showing interrelationship of step "summing the plurality of differential currents to yield a single differential load" with element "an edge based receiver" recited in step "coupling the plurality of differential currents to an edge-based receiver". Said omission renders the claim indefinite as following:

it is unclear whether the "plurality of differential currents" are coupled to an input or an output of the "edge-based receiver" and whether the plurality of differential currents" are coupled to the "edge-based receiver" at input(s) or output(s) of the "plurality of differential currents".

Claim 24 omits steps showing interrelationship of "an edge processor" with other steps recited in claims 22-24 and with "transmitter" recited in claim 22.

Claims (if any) dependent on above claims are therefore also rejected with the above reasons.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 4/27/05 have been fully considered but they are not, in part, persuasive.

The previous objection on the Specification has been withdrawn since the specification was amended to overcome the objection.

Art Unit: 2631

The previous objection on claim 10 has been withdrawn since the claim was amended to overcome the objection.

The previous rejection, under 35 USC, first paragraph, to claims 9 and 18-22 have been withdrawn since the claim was amended to overcome the objection.

The previous rejection, under 35 USC, first paragraph, to claims 23 and 24 is still maintained because of reasons set forth in this Office Action.

Applicant's argument with respect to the rejections, under 35 USC, second paragraph, to claims 1-4, 8-16 and 18-24 as being incomplete, has been considered. However, the claims, after being amended, are deemed still to be rejected with reasons set forth above in this Office Action.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2631

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 571-272-3009. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PHUONO PHU RIMARY EXAMINER

Phuong Phu 06/06/05

Thurs phu

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2631